

CoB Committees

In a strange move that is apparently the new norm for the CoB, no committees and no committee members have been announced for the 2009-2010 year. Three committees are known to exist: the Undergraduate Programs and Assessment Committee (UPAC), the Graduate Programs and Assessment Committee (GPAC), and the College Advisory Committee (CAC). Each of these three have met and accomplished things, but the composition of the committees remains unknown to all but those people on each committee. Isn't that strange? Given accreditation is increasingly close, isn't this when deans normally trot out all their show dogs for AACSB? The UPAC and GPAC had to be assembled because there were changes to be made (based on solicited faculty votes). The CAC (tenure and promotion) is required to exist for the college and the university. Once again this means no transparency, no guidance, and no outward manifestation of planning. It also means some CoB faculty members are burdened with college service while many others are unfettered by service opportunities. If that meant research productivity was way up, then that would be one thing, but there does not appear to be any evidence of that. Dean Nail, what are you gaining from this opaque confusion?